Figure 2. Forest plot showing the overall upstaging rate in clinically early-stage epithelial ovarian carcinoma patients with subgroup analysis for histological subtype.

Study	Events	Total	Weight	Proportion (95% CI)
Serous				
Onda et al.	7	21	2.4%	0.333 (0.146 - 0.570)
Naru et al.	6	22	2.5%	0.273 (0.107 - 0.502)
Minig et al.	14	56	3.0%	0.250 (0.144 - 0.384)
Bachmann et al.	3	44	3.2%	0.068 (0.014 - 0.187)
Ditto et al.	7	25	2.6%	0.280 (0.121 - 0.494)
Negishi et al.	5	35	3.0%	0.143 (0.048 - 0.303)
Cass et al.	20	28	2.7%	0.714 (0.513 - 0.868)
Faught et al.	30	44	2.9%	0.682 (0.524 - 0.814)
Garcia-Soto et al.	4	8	1.5%	0.500 (0.157 - 0.843)
Ayhan et al. (2007)	26	69	3.0%	0.377 (0.263 - 0.502)
Total (95% CI)	122	352	26.8%	0.353 (0.218 - 0.487)
Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.0398; Chi^2 = 99.83, df = 9 (P < 0.01); I^2 = 91%				
Mucinous				
Onda et al.	1	15	2.9%	0.067 (0.002 - 0.319)
Naru et al.	1	14	2.9%	0.071 (0.002 - 0.339)
Minig et al.	2	39	3.2%	0.051 (0.006 - 0.173)
Bachmann et al.	1	7	2.0%	0.143 (0.004 - 0.579)
Ditto et al.	0	15	3.2%	0.000 (0.000 - 0.218)
Negishi et al.	2	49	3.3%	0.041 (0.005 - 0.140)
Cass et al.	2	16	2.7%	0.125 (0.016 - 0.383)
Faught et al.	4	27	2.9%	0.148 (0.042 - 0.337)
Garcia-Soto et al.	3	14	2.3%	0.214 (0.047 - 0.508)
Ayhan et al. (2007)	9	52	3.1%	0.173 (0.082 - 0.303)
Total (95% CI)	25	248	28.5%	0.074 (0.036 - 0.112)
Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.0008; Chi^2 = 11.55, df = 9 (P = 0.24); I^2 = 22%				
Endometrioid				
Onda et al.	0	3	1.7%	0.000 (0.000 - 0.708)
Naru et al.	1	1	0.7%	1.000 (0.025 - 1.000)
Minig et al.	9	68	3.2%	0.132 (0.062 - 0.236)
Bachmann et al.	2	17	2.8%	0.118 (0.015 - 0.364)
Padhy et al.	3	29	3.0%	0.103 (0.022 - 0.274)
Ditto et al.	4	38	3.1%	0.105 (0.029 - 0.248)
Negishi et al.	3	15	2.4%	0.200 (0.043 - 0.481)
Cass et al.	9	23	2.4%	0.391 (0.197 - 0.615)
Faught et al.	6	36	3.0%	0.167 (0.064 - 0.328)
Garcia-Soto et al.	5	25	2.7%	0.200 (0.068 - 0.407)
Ayhan et al. (2007) .	6	15	2.1%	0.400 (0.163 - 0.677)
Total (95% CI)	48	270	27.1%	0.197 (0.095 - 0.299)
Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.0205; Chi^2 = 20.54, df = 10 (P = 0.02); I^2 = 51%				
Clear cell				
Onda et al.	5	16	2.2%	0.312 (0.110 - 0.587)
Naru et al.	0	1	0.7%	0.000 (0.000 - 0.975)
Padhy et al.	1	56	3.4%	0.018 (0.000 - 0.096)
Ditto et al.	0	9	2.9%	0.000 (0.000 - 0.336)
Negishi et al.	8	46	3.0%	0.174 (0.078 - 0.314)
Cass et al.	4	20	2.6%	0.200 (0.057 - 0.437)
Faught et al.	3	19	2.7%	0.158 (0.034 - 0.396)
Total (95% CI)	21	167	17.5%	0.112 (0.031 - 0.194)
Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.0058; Chi^2 = 18.27, df = 6 (P < 0.01); I^2 = 67%				
Total (95% CI)	216	1037	100.0%	0.198 (0.140 - 0.256)
Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.0258; Chi^2 = 239.82, df = 37 (P < 0.01); I^2 = 85%				